

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/387,796	09/01/1999	CATHERINE CHRISTENSEN	ST9-99-084	3026
45727 IP AUTHORIT	7590 03/23/200° TY, LLC	EXAMINER		
RAMRAJ SOUNDARARAJAN 9435 LORTON MARKET STREET #801 LORTON, VA 22079			SAX, STEVEN PAUL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	3 MONTHS 03/23/2007 PAPER		PFR	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/387,796	CHRISTENSEN ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Steven P. Sax	2174			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	I				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/26	5/06				
<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1,2,5-10,12-16 and 18-22 is/are pendi	ing in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-10,12-16 and 18-22</u> is/are reject	ted.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper Nots/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/387,796 Page 2

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application has been examined. The amendment filed 12/26/06 has been entered.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 5-10, 12-16, 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lui et al (US 2002/0118220) and Cataudella et al (6456296).
- 4. Regarding claim 1, Lui et al show the computer based method of visually delineating a relationship between related graphical objects in a graphical user interface (abstract, para 10), including: associating at least one icon with at least two diverse but related graphical objects (Figures 4-6, 6A, para 57, 59, 61), when one of the related objects is displayed, displaying the icon within the graphical object (Figures 5, 6A, para 67, 68, 69), wherein the displayed graphical objects are recognizable as related by the persistence of the icon (para 57, 12). Lui et al do not go into the details of the icon having an associated color scheme in the displayed graphical objects, but does mention the persistence of the guide icon with its discernible shape, for a convenient

Art Unit: 2174

recognizable feature. Furthermore, Cataudella et al show an associated color scheme in displayed graphical objects, for a convenient recognizable feature (abstract, Figure 6, column 2 lines 30-55, column 3 lines 1-16, column 7 lines 40-65, column 8 lines 1-3). It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to use the associated color scheme, in the method of Lui et al, because it would be a convenient recognizable feature to use in associating plural objects.

- 5. Regarding claim 2, the icon is displayed within a visible portion of the graphical object (Lui et al Figures 4, 6A).
- 6. Regarding claim 5, the persistence of the icon provides user assistance when traversing a series of graphical templates (Lui et al para 121, 158).
- 7. Regarding claim 6, the objects include windows and icons (Lui et al Figures 4-6, 6A).
- 8. Claim 7 shows the same features as claim 1 and is rejected for the same reasons.
- 9. Regarding claim 8, the objects are located within a graphical user interface (Lui et al Figure 4 for example, para 42).

Application/Control Number: 09/387,796

Art Unit: 2174

10. Claims 9-10 shows the same features as claims 6-7 and are rejected for the same reasons respectively.

Page 4

- 11. Claims 15-16 and 18 show the same features as claims 1-2 and and 5 respectively and are rejected for the same reasons.
- 12. Claims 21-22 show the same features as claims 1 and 5 respectively and are rejected for the same reasons.
- 13. Regarding claim 12, in addition to that mentioned for claim 1, note the progression of the objects to reflect an evolution of development of the first object in the series (Lui et al Figures 4, 6A, para 103, 111, 121, 159).
- 14. Regarding claim 13, the persistence of the icon provides user assistance when traversing a series of graphical templates (Lui et al para 121, 158).
- 15. Regarding claim 14, the series of objects in Lui et al represent a user assistance wizard (para 47, 53, 121, 158).
- 16. Claims 19-20 show the same features as claims 12 and 14 respectively and are rejected for the same reasons.

Application/Control Number: 09/387,796

Art Unit: 2174

Page 5

- 17. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Note though with respect to Liu, that the relationship is shown between the related graphical objects. Cataudella et al is brought in to then show the details of the color scheme. This combination is valid, and the motivation is a convenient recognizable feature to use in associating objects, which is a goal of both Liu and Cataudella et al.
- 18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven P. Sax whose telephone number is (571) 272-4072. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30 AM 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2174

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.
